

জায়ক্ষকাজাবলিয अयुक्तकाकायालय Office of the Commissioner Office of the Commissioner खेद्रीय चीएसटी, वर्षील अहमवाबाद अनुरक्तालय Central (387, Appeal Amarcalabad Commissionerate चीएसटी भचन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडीक्ट्रमवाबाद १८०० १५. GST Elshvan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 Phone: 079-2630565 Faz. 079-26305136 E-Mail : commranol1-cexamd@nic.in



By Regd. Post DIN NO.: 20231164SW0000333EB9

(35)	फ्राइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2595/2023 / 4911 - 17
(स)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In –Appeal and date	AHM-CGST-002-APP-JC-94/2023-24 and 31.10.2023
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री आदेश कुमार जैन, संयुक्त आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Adesh Kumar Jain, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)
(ম)	जारी करने की दिनोक / Date of Issue	17.11.2023
(হ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. GST/D-VI/AR-II/O&A/01/APAN/PD/2023- 24 dated 03.07.2023 passed by The Superintendent, CGST, Range-II, Division-VI, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate	
(গ)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	M/s Apan Imex Private Limited (GSTIN: 24AAGCA7539P1Z5), 19, Royal Enclave, Thaltej, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 380059

	इस आदेध(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निस्ततिथित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी /प्राधिकरण के समझ अपील दायर कर		
(A)	सन्तता है।		
	Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate		
	authority in the following way.		
	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act		
(i)	in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section		
	109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.		
(ii)	State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other		
	than as mentioned in para- (Alfi) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017		
(111)	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST		
	Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One		
	Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit		
	involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against,		
	subject to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.		
(B)	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along		
	with relevant documents either electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar,		
	Appellate Tribunal in FORM GST APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against		
	of CUST Rules, 2017, and snall be accompanied by a copy of the order appeared spanist within seven days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.		
-	Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017		
	after paving -		
	<ul> <li>Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned</li> </ul>		
(i)	order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant; and		
	(ii) A sum equal to twenty five per cent of the remainingamount of Tax in dispute,		
	in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGST Act, 2017, arising		
	from the said order, in relation to which the appeal has been filed.		
(ii)	The Central Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated		
	03.12.2019 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State		
	From the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the state President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later.		
	President, as the case may be, or the Appenate Priorinal enters onlet, whichever is later. उद्य अपीसीय प्राधिकरी को बपील वाखिल करते.ने-संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रायधानों के लिए, अपीलायी		
(C)			
	विभागीय वेससाइटwww.cbic.gov.inv.setters		
	For elaborate, detailed and lates provisions salating to himg of appeal to the appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the website www.chic.gov.in.		
	【星虹 245% 注意】		

#### ORDER-IN-APPEAL

## BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE :

M/s. APAN IMEX EPRIVATE LIMITED having its principal Place of business at 19, ROYAL ENCLAVE, THALTEJ, Ahmadabad, Gujarat, 380059 (SGTN - 24AAGACA7539P125) (here in after referred to as the "Appellant) has filed appeal against Order-in-Original No. GST/D-VI/AR-81/08A/01/APAN/ P2/2023-24 dated 0.3-07-2023 [hereinafter referred to as the "impugned order] passed by the Superintendent, COST & C.Ex., Range-II, Division-VI, Ahmedabad-North Commissionerate [hereinafter referred to as the "dualucianty authority].

 Briefly sated, the facts of this case are that the Appellant is engaged in the trading of Dyes and Chemicals falling under HSN 3204, 3809 and 3921 of GST Tariff Act, 2017. During the course of GST Audit by the Department, it was noticed that:

(1) the Appellant had purchased goods (from a place out of India) and then supplied the same goods to a place out of India and this supply has been reported as Export Sales [Offahore] in their books of Account. Further, it was socioed that they had purchased goods from suppliers (rendor) situated outside fulls but has not brought the goods within India. Thereafter, they have a supplied has an goods on their own invoices from the location of above said vendor 600,1819 dated 04.12.2018 and 071/1819 dated 23.12.2018 valued at Re.35,03,430/- and Re.12,4630/- respectively and has received the consideration/payment (value) in foreign currency. As the supplier is located in India and the place of supply is outside India and as such the same would be Inter-state supply in terms of the provisions of Section 7(5) of 1687 Act. 2017. Thus, transaction undertaken by the Appellant tantamount to supply and Is an Inter-state supply and IOS7 is levable on transmotions.

(2) Further with regard to Non-Reversal of Proportionate ITC availed on common services used for taxable and non-taxable supplies (Rule 42 of the COST Rules, 2017) audit revealed that the Appellant had made High Sen Sale during the Month of September 2017 which has been exempted till 31.01.2019. Hence, input Tax Credit on common Input availed which have been used for taxable supplies as well as exempted supply (or exclusively used for Nil rated supplies/exempted supplies is required to be reversed as per the manner and procedure laid down under Rule 42 of the COST Rules, 2017. ITC of Rs. 5023/-COST and Rs. 5023/- SOST for the year 2017-18 was required to be reversed by the Appellant.

GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2595/2023

 Therefore a show-cause-notice dated 26.03.2022 was issued to the Appellant as to why:

(i) The GST amounting to Rs 19,26,598/ [Rs.19,16,552/+(GGST)+ Rs 5023/-(CGST) + Rs 5023/+(SGST)), should not be demanded and recovered from them under the provisions of Section 74(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017 read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017.

(ii) Interest at the prescribed rates under the provisions of Section 50(1) of the CGST Act,2017 clong-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017, read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017 should not be demanded and recovered from them on tax demanded at Sr. No. (i) above.

(iii) Penalty under the provisions of Section 74(1) of CGST Act,2017 read with Section 122(2)(b) of CGST Act,2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017, read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017 should not be demanded and recovered from them on tax demanded at Sr. No. (i) above.

The adjudicating authority, vide the impugned order dated 22-03-2023, seed the following order:

and drop the the proceeding for the demand of Ra.19, 16,552/- under the definitions of Section 74(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017 read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST ACT, 2017

(ii) I confirm the demand of Rs.10,046/- (5023/-(GGST) + Rs 5023/-(SGST)), and order for recovery of Rs.10,046/- from M/s Apan Impex Physica Limited, 19, Royal Enclave, Thalkip, Ahmedabad-380059 under the provisions of Section 74(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017 read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017.

iii. I order for recovery of Interest at the prescribed rates under the provisions of Section S0(1) of the COST Act,2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SOST Act, 2017, read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IOST Act, 2017 on tac demanded at Sr. No. (8) above.

ii. I impose Penalty of Rs.10,046/- upon Bis Apan Impex Photate Limited, 19. Royal Enclaws, Thatlej, Ahmedabad,380039 under the provisions of Section 74(1) of CGST Ac2,2017 read with Section 122(2)) of CGST Ac2,2017 along-with and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017, read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand, and the corresponding entry of the SGST Act, 2017, read with the provisions of Section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thousand the section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017. The se

3

## GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2595/2023

along utils, applicable interest recoverable from them within thirty days from the resolut of this Order, they shall be required to pay only 50% of the pending imposed upon them, provided that the such reduced pendity is also paid within the said period of 30 days (thirty days), in terms of provision as laid down under Section 741) of CSI Act, 2017.<sup>24</sup>

 Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant filed present appeal on the following grounds:

- > The alleged exempted services are the services on which the tax was sought to be demanded in the notice. This demand pertains to transactions of sale of goods, hying in one foreign country to buyer in another foreign country. These transactions are specifically covered under Schedule III at ar no 7. This is the basis for dropping the demand in the notice.
- > Now the reversal of ITC is as per Section 17 of the Act. This is reproduced below:

'(3) The value of exempt supply under sub-section (2) shall be such as may be prescribed, and shall include supplies on which the recipient is liable to pay tax on reverse charge basis, transactions in securities, sale of land and, subject to clause (b) of paragraph 5 of Schedule II, sale of building.

splanation. For the purposes of this sub-section, the expression "value of second supply" shall not include the value of activities or transactions specified in Schedule III, except.-

 the value of activities or transactions specified in paragraph 5 of the said Schedule; and

(ii) .....

(iii) the value of such activities or transactions as may be prescribed in respect of clause (a) of paragraph 8 of the said Schedule.\*

➤ This exclusion is specific and would cover the matter. The reversal is sought in respect of the supplies which are covered by 8.7k0. 7 of the Schedule III. This would therefore not be subject of reversal under said section. The order for reversal is clearly bad in law and is required to be at easied.

Since the demand is not maintainable, question of interest or penalty also does not arise.

Further, the appellant has requested to set aside the impugned order with consequential relief.

## PERSONAL HEARING:

 Personal hearing in this case was held on 26.10.2023. Shri Shridev Vyas Advocate appeared in person, on behalf of the appellant as authorized representative. He reiterated the written submissions.

#### Discussion & findings:

7.1 I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and the submissions made by the appellant in their grounds of appeal and observe that the appellant is mainly contesting with regard to demand of Rs.10,046/- (Rs.5,023/- CGST + Rs.5,023/- SGST) towards Non-reversal of proportionate TC availed on common services used for taxable and non-tuxable supplies (Role4 24 of CGST Rules, 2017) along with interest and penalty.

7.2 So the issue to be decided in the present appeal is:

(a) Whether the order passed by the adjudicating authority for demand and recovery of Rs.10,046/- [Rs.5,023/- COST + Rs.5,023/- SOST] under Section 74(1) of the COST/GGST Act, 2017 read with section 20 of the 10ST Act, 2017 and interest under Section 50(1) of the COST/GGST Act, 2017 read with section 20 of the 10ST Act, 2017 along with penulty of Rs.10,046/- imposed under Section 74(1) read with Section 122(2)(b) along with corresponding entries of GGST Act, 2017 read with the provisions of IOST Act, 2017, is proper or otherwise?

7.3. At the foremost, I observed that in the instant case the "impugned order" is of dated 03-07-2023 and the present appeal is filed on 04.08.2023. As per Section 107(1) of the COST Act, 2017, the appeal is required to be filed within three months time limit. Therefore, I find that the present appeal is filed within the period prescribed under Section 107(1) of the COST Act, 2017. Apprdingly, 1 am proceeding to docide the case.

In the instant case, I observe that the adjudicating authority has confirmed the demand of Rs.10,046/- (Rs.5,023/- GST) twomed Non-revenued of Proportionant TC availed on common services used for toxable and non-taxable supplies [Rule 42 of CGST Rules, 2017] along with interest and penalty, is with regard to supply of goods from a place outside findia to another place outside india, without such goods entering to India. The said supply falls under Schedule-III of CGST Act, 2017, which is reproduced heremoder.

# SCHEDULE III.

/ See section 71

ACTIVITIES OR TRANSACTIONS WHICH SHALL BE TREATED NEITHER AS A SUPPLY OF GOODS NOR A SUPPLY OF SERVICES

 I/Supply of goods from a place in the non-taxable territory to another place in the non-taxable territory without such goods entering into India.

 Inserted by s.32 of The Central Goods and Services Tax (Amendment) Act, 2018 (No. 31 of 2018) - Brought into force w.e.f. 01st February, 2019. 7.5 Further, vide Finance Act, 2023 (CGST) para 7 of the above schedule No.III has been amended and the same shall be deemed to have been inserted therein with effect from the 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2017.

7.6 I also observe that the Appellant has contended that the alleged exempted services are the services on which the tax has been demanded in the notice. This demand pertains to transactions of also of goods, ying in one foreign country to buyer in another foreign country. These transactions are specifically covered under Schedule III at ar no 7. This is the basis for dropping the demand in the notice.

7.7 I find that the Apportionment of credit and blocked credits is provided in Section 17 of the GST Act, which is reproduced hereunder:

\* Section 17. Apportionment of credit and blocked credits.-

(1) Where the goods or services or both are used by the registered person partly for the purpose of any business and partly for other purposes, the amount of credit shall be restricted to so much of the input tax as is attributable to the purposes of his business.

(2) Where the goods or services or both ore used by the registered person partly for effecting taxable supplies including zero-rated supplies under this Act or byder the integrated Goods and Services Tax Act and partly for effecting exempt Bapplies under the said Acts, the amount of credit shall be restricted to so much highle supplies including the another of credit shall be restricted to so much by the input tax as is attributable to the said taxable supplies including zerofighd supplies.

(2) The value of exempt supply under sub-section (2) shall be such as may be prescribed, and shall include supplies on which the recipient is liable to pay tax on reverse charge basis, transactions in securities, sale of land and, subject to clause (b) of paragraph 5 of Scheädule II, sale of building.

\*[Explanation.- For the purposes of this sub-section, the expression "value of exempt supply" shall not include the value of activities or transactions specified in <u>Schedule III</u>, except those specified in paragraph 5 of the said Schedule;]

7.8 Further I find that the manner of determination of ITC in respect of inputs or input services and reversal thereof has been prescribed under Rule 42 of the GST Rules, 2017, which is reproduced hereunder:

Rule 42. Manner of determination of input tax credit in respect of inputs or input services and reversal thereof. -

7.9 From the above, I find that in the instant case the appellant has supplied the goods from the place outside India, to a place outside India which is covered under schedule-III of GST Act, 2017 which is already determined "MEITHER AS A SUPPLY OF GOODS NOR A SUPPLY OF

## GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2595/2023

SERVICES<sup>1</sup>. The said activity as per Explanation under Section 17(3) of the COST Act, 2017 does not fail under the exampted supply, therefore the said supply is neither exempted nor in relation to furtherance of business and falls under Section17(1) of the COST/VOST Act, 2017. Hence, 1 and of the view that the demand for reversal of proportionate ITC availed on common services used for taxable and non-taxable supplies under Rule 42 of COST Rules, 2017 along with interest under Section 50(1) of the COST/GOST Act, 2017 is proper and lesal.

7.10 Further, I find that the appellant has not reversed the proportionate credit thereby utilized the same with intention to reveal gayment of GST which have been detected during Addit by the Department. I observe that the provisions of Section 122(2)(b) provides that where the input tax credit has been wrongly availed or utilized for the reason of fraud or any willul misstatement or suppression of facts to evade tax by any registered person, while be liable to a penalty equal to ten thousand rupees or the tax due from such person, whichever is higher. I am of the view the Appellant is liable for penalty under the said provisions.

 In view the foregoing facts and discussions, I do not find an infirmity in the order passed by the adjudicating authority in the present case. Thus O-I-O is upheld being Legal and proper.

 अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। The appeal filed by the *appellant* stands disposed of in above terms.

MAR JAIN)

(ADESH KUMAR JAIN) JOINT COMMISSIONER (APPEALS) CGST & C.EX., AHMEDABAD.



ATTESTED.

(SUNTA-D.NAWANI) SUPERINTENDENT CGST & C.EX.(APPEALS), AHMEDABAD.

By R.P.A.D.

M/s. APAN IMEX PRIVATE LIMITED having 19, ROYAL ENCLAVE, THALTEJ, Ahmadabad, Gujarat, 380059 (GSTIN - 24AAGCA7539P125)

## Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of CGST & C.EX., Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner [Appeals], CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-North.
- 4. The Dy/Asstt. Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex, Division-VI Ahmedabad-North
- 5. The Superintendent, CGST & C. Ex, AR-II, Division-VI Ahmedabad-N
- 6. The Superintendent [Systems], CGST (Appeals), Ahmedabad.
- Z-Guard File/ P.A. File.

